AUMINIDIKATIVE-INTERNAL UDE UMLT

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002

INTERROGATION BRANCH ACTIVITIES

OVERT DESK

- Conduct staff pre-employment polygraph examinations \ 1.
- Conduct staff EOD polygraph examinations 2.
- 3. Conduct RIP (Specific) polygraph examinations
- Conduct EOD briefings of minor males prior to 19th birthday
- Conduct EOD briefings of minor females prior to 18th 5. birthday
- Conduct straight interrogations and interviews 9
- 7. Conduct contractor/vendor pre-assignment polygraph examinations
- 8. Conduct pre-assignment polygraph examinations of DIA assignees

Conduct pre-assignment polygraph examinations of IC (25X1A

*1*0.

11. Conduct special request interrogations and polygraph examinations for other agencies

12. Conduct pre-assignment polygraph examinations of GSA 25X1A assignees

83B00823R000700020030-6

ROMINIOINATIVE MATERIALE NOT NAVI

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6

25X1A

25X1A

8 13

RESEARCH & TRAINING ACTIVITIES

Train new polygraph examiners in polygraph testing procedures and interrogation techniques, and insure their basic familiarity with standard operating procedures in Headquarters

25X1A

- 20 Keep informed of developments in polygraphy and related fields and insure that all useful aspects of such information are made available to examiners.
- 3. Serve as principal consultant to thief, IB on matters of research and training.
- Serve as primary IB spokesman for OS and OTR courses requiring treatment of polygraphy.
- Assist ORD/DDS&T in polygraph-related research and bring to ORD attention areas of potential research.
- 6. Perform or direct research needed by IB when appropriate services are not available from other components.

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERVAL USE DIVY

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting staff pre-employment polygraph examinations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 1	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branc
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 2	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branc
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes / No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff. If so, how?	necessary? Yes No No No No it is still necessary? Yes No Local No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No / If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No . If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting staff EOD polygraph examinations

for conducting RIP (Specific) polygraph examinations. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 3 DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \checkmark No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No____ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No / If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component

The Office of Security component responsible

for conducting EOD briefings of minor males prior to 18th birthday. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 4 DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes V No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No V If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No__/ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes / No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes___ No_√ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting EOD briefings of minor females prior to 18th birthday.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 5 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity.
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No No No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 200	00/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	In special situations and by direction of the Office of Security Interrogation Branch will conduct non polygraph interrogations and interviews.
•	
ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (c) 6	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
	DATE:
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	till in force? Yes No (ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mon If so, how?	still necessary? Yes No
to another US Component of	lity for the activity should be transferred r to another Office? Yes No
If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the More properly? Yes	ne activity more efficiently? Less costly? No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	nything questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope/size or discontinued without erall Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not in important than this one?	now being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No If so, what?

ment polygraph examinations. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 7 **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nov If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No___ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

for conducting contractor/vendor pre-assign-

Approved For Release 2 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY	for cond	ducting pre-a tions of Defe	0823R0007000 ity componen assignment po ense Intelli	olygraph	
	••	,			÷
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c)	8	COMPONENT: OS	S/PSI/Interro	ogation Bran	ıcl
EVALUATION:	The state of the same of the s		DATE:		
The state of the s			2744 14 ·	•	
What is (are) our reference	e(s) for t	his activity	? Date(s)?		
Is (are) the reference(s) Who is (are) the beneficia	still in f ry(ies) of	orce? Ye this activi			
		•			
Do you feel the activity i Do(es) the beneficiary(ies Can the activity be done m If so, how?) feel it	is still nec	essary? Yes	s No Yes No	/
Do you feel that responsib to another OS component If so, where and why?	ility for or to anot	the activity her Office?	should be YesNo	transferred	
				• .	•
Can another component do to More properly? Yes	NoI	y more effic f so, which	iently? Les component ar	ss costly? id why?	
		•			
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	anything quality If so	uestionable, what?	in the light	t of	
			:	•	
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope erall Agend	e/size or di cy security?	scontinued v	vithout No	
Is there any activity not r important than this one?	now being p	oursued by 0	S that you f	feel is mor	е

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting pre-assignment polygraph examinations of Intelligence Community assignees. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 9 DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes / No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No 1 If so, what?

25X1A Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting TDY overt polygraph examinations. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 10 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No / If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No V If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting special request interrogations
and polygraph examinations for other agencies.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 11 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Decision by D/os on an individual race basis
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
DEFER TO MANAGEMENT LEVEL FOR GUIDANCE.
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responses

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting pre-assignment polygraph examinations of GSA assignees.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 12 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branc
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
what is (are) our reference(s) for this activity: Date(s):
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No tho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No VI If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for DCI Memo to Dinector of Socurity - "Polygi	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of all components of air but use by DDO Loffaced by OS.	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes Wo
	• •
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No V If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No / If so, what?

2	5	X	1	Δ
_	.,	/\		

Approved	For	Release	200
DENTIFICATION	OE	ACTIVIT	·V•

ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (c)	.14	COMPONEN'	r:OS/PSI/Interrog	ation Branc
EVALUATION:				DATE:	•
What is (are) or DC/ Messo to D	ur reference Dir Security	ce(s) for "Polygra	this activ	vity? Date(s)? J 21 Feeb 1970	9
Is (are) the re: Who is (are) the All Compunion	ference(s) e beneficia f C(A)	still in ary(ies) of Lut lan	force? of this act	Yes No tivity? fallocad ly OS.	- .
Do you feel the Do(es) the benet Can the activity If so, how?	ficiary(ies	s) feel it	is still	Yes No No necessary? Yes less costly? Yes	No No
		•			
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	component	oility for or to and	the activither Offic	vity should be to ce? Yes No_	ransferred
Can another comp More properly?	onent do t	he activi	ty more ef If so, whi	ficiently? Less.ch component and	costly?
	•		•		·
Does the activit Watergate? Ye	y include s No_	anything If s	questionab	ole in the light	of
					•
Can this activit significant ef If so, how?	y be reduc fect on ov	ed in sco erall Age	pe/size or ncy securi	discontinued wity? Yes No	thout
Is there any act important than	ivity not this one?	now being Yes		y OS that you fe f so, what?	el is more

TDENT TEAPPROVED	For	Release.	200
I DESCRIBINATION	Mi	ATT LV TT	¥ •

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 15	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for YOI Mens to Pir OS "Poly graph In	this activity? Date(s)?
paraeucing ou o.	us info meuray to all campaints
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for, how?	necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No I If something formulated to use directions.	questionable in the light of so, what? Additional Control
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes Noi
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No / If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	The Office of Security component responsible for conducting polygraph examinations at Headquarters.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 1	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference (DCI Muns & Dir OS " Poly	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
all compounts of QIA a	
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how?	still necessary? Yes No No No No No e efficiently or less costly? Yes No No
Do you feel that responsibilito another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes No
Can another component do the More properly? Yes No	activity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include any Watergate? Yes No	thing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on overa If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now important than this one? Y	w being pursued by OS that you feel is more yes No VII so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Company

for preparing staff studies, position and policy papers as directed by Chief, Interrogation Branch and Chief, Security Support Division. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 17 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? UCI Merino to DIOS "Polygraph Propriem" 21 Jeb 70 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? DS. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes V Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No V If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No___ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

			<u>;</u>			
	•			·		
ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (c) 18	CC	OMPONENT: OS	/PSI/Interro	ogation I	Branch
EVALUATION:	. •			DATE:		
	· .					
What is (are)	our reference(s) for thi	is activity	? Date(s)?		
DCI Me	mot, D/	03 " J	slygr sph	Profesol	21-	- 1 - EB 7
Is (are) the re Who is (are) the	eference(s) sti he beneficiary(enductly all on conduct	ll in for ies) of t	ce? Yes	sNo		
Do you feel the Do (es) the bene					N	o
Can the activit	tv he done more	efficier	itly or less	s costly?	Yes	No /
5X1A If so, how? musky wasts fixed mustes fo 5X1Ao you feel that to another OS If so, where	s component or	greatu z ty for the to another	eleunt, name activity or Office?	should be YesN	d Miss. transfer	red
Can another com	nponent do the a	activity If	more efficiency so, which o	iently? Le component a	ss costl nd why?	y?
					· ·	
Does the activi Watergate? Y	ity include any YesNo	thing que If so,	estionable in what?	in the ligh	t of	•.
	•		. •			
Can this activi significant e If so, how?	ty be reduced a				without No	
Is there any ac	ctivity not now in this one? Ye	being pu	rsued by 05	S that you	feel is	more

Approved For Release 2000/09/035X4A PDP83P00833P000700030030

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Interrogation Branch is responsible for training new polygraph examiners in polygraph
testing procedures and interrogation techniques, and insure their basic familiarity with standard operating procedures in Headquarters 25X1A
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 19 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Interrogation Branch is responsible for keeping informed of developments in polygraphy and related fields and insure that all useful aspects of such information are made available to examiners. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 20 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Interrogation Branch serves as the prima spokesman for Office of Security and	ry
Office of Training in courses requiring treatment of polygraphy.	
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 21 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation B	ranch
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
	·
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes N If so, how?	0
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?	ed
	•
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mo important than this one? YesNoIF so, what?	re

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020030-6 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assist ORD/DDS&T in polygraph-related research and brings to ORD attention areas of
potential research.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (c) 22 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Interrogation Branch
COMPONENT: CODE. 1, B, (C) 22 COMPONENT: CONTOTY INTERTOGRATION DIAME.
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No
If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No / If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

	·	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Option					
	25X1A				
ROM:	20/(1/(EXTENSION	NO.
I	Policy and Plan	s Grou	∎ p	x5311	DATE 6 March 1975
O: (Officer desi	gnation, room number, and		ATE		5 March 1373
vilding)		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whon to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
1.		,	1		
Chief,	Interrogation Branch	3/1	37	1	Under Objective B57104 the
2.	- 1		-1	X.	Office of Security will re view and validate all Office
	0/00B	3/10	3/10	2	of Security functions,
3.	1 lack	2/.	- /		activitiesto ensure efficiency and efficiency
	0,700	2/11	3/11	HAK	ency. The attached document represent two milestones
1.	111 -	į		10	under that objective. Mile
	12+10			15	stone 1, the components identified their functions
2 l m	•	-> j		-	and activities. Milestone
· · · / 7	·ß	3/12	5/12	.).	was establishment of a format for evaluating these
dee	· (ر. ر		000	activities. It is requested that Interrogation Branch
ر دی .	, 1)	ZIM	AR	685	review the attachment and:
					a) Certify that there are r deletions/corrections/
					additions to be made to
25	SX1A				the previous listing submitted by your Office
•					b) Review the Identification of Activity statement or
					each evaluation sheet to
					ensure it fully equals t the comparable activity
					from your offices listin
					 c) Use the attached format to evaluate each individ
					activity.
					bin Fo
					() sek - Reference
					do all activity is
					yel rierro to pos
					7 Joek - Reference Jos all outwilly wo ver neves to plos; "Polygraph Proposin" 21 Feb. 1970.
					71 rev. 1970,